No Excuses, NYC:

Replace Lead Drinking Water Pipes Now



"For years, parents, grandparents, grandmothers, grandfathers, aunties and uncles, people in the community have been talking about this issue and have been demanding to be seen and be heard; demanding and saying, 'look, it does not require a scientist or a doctor to understand the impacts of lead pipes on the health of our children.' And the voices of the community must be heard."

> Remarks by Vice President Harris on Delivering Clean Water for Every American | Pittsburgh, PA - FEBRUARY 20, 2024

No Safe Level of Lead

LEAD IS A POISONOUS HEAVY METAL

that can affect almost every organ and system in the human body, often with irreversible effects. People of all ages face health risks from lead exposure, but fetuses and young children are most susceptible to the adverse effects of lead. The <u>CDC</u>,¹ the <u>American Academy of Pediatrics</u>,² the <u>World Health Organization</u>,³ and the <u>EPA</u>⁴ all state that there is no safe level of lead exposure.

Drinking water is one pathway to lead exposure. What makes lead exposure particularly dangerous in drinking water is that it is colorless, tasteless, and odorless. A drinking water service line is the pipe that delivers water from the water main in the middle of a street to a building. Lead can leach into drinking water from pipes and plumbing that contain lead.

New York City and Lead Service Lines

NEW YORK CITY'S DRINKING WATER

comes from 18 reservoirs spread across a 2,000 square-mile watershed in upstate New York.⁵ Every day, more than 1 billion gallons of fresh drinking water are delivered to 9 million residents (about half the population of New York State) at some 857,000 buildings via service lines.⁶ NYC has the largest unfiltered water supply in the United States and its water is delivered from the upstate reservoir system virtually lead-free.⁷ The water supplier for NYC is the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), the largest of over 2,800 public



water supply systems registered with the New York State Department of Health.⁸

As far back as 1858 until about 1936, the city not only permitted the use of lead pipes, but at times encouraged or specifically required them to be used.⁹ In 1961, NYC banned lead service line installations and in 1987, the use of lead solder in plumbing systems.¹⁰

While water suppliers maintain a record of connection to the system for all of their customers, knowing the material of any given service line is a challenge due to poor recordkeeping and repairs over the years which might not have been properly recorded.¹¹ DEP records maintain information on the material of the drinking water service line.¹²

In April 2019, the NYC Council enacted NYC Local Law 65¹³, which required DEP to compile an inventory of each service line and the material it was made of and to publish this information both as a data set and an online interactive map. In August 2021, the inventory¹⁴ and maps¹⁵ were released. The law also requires the DEP to update the data every six months based on its "best available records."

According to March 27, 2024 data from DEP¹⁶, here is what is known (and not known) about service lines in NYC:

| NYC Service Lines Citywide by Material | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| MATERIAL | # OF SERVICE LINES | % OF SERVICE LINES |
| Lead ¹⁷ or Possible Lead ¹⁸ | 338,566 | 39.5% |
| Not Lead | 518,122 | 60.4% |
| Non Applicable | 844 | 0.10% |
| TOTAL | 857,536 | 100% |



Borough Breakdown

Lead/Possible Lead Service Lines by Borough



A closer look at the data at the Neighborhood level reveals "hot spots" within each borough. For instance, while Staten Island may be at the bottom of the list as a borough, looking at a heat map offers a fuller picture.



NYC Neighborhoods²⁰

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by neighborhood. Port Richmond in Staten Island has the highest rate (55.18%) in the entire city.



Views of the Ridgewood Queens, NY (top) and Unionport Bronx, NY (bottom) neighborhoods, which show clusters of Lead/Possible LSLs:²¹

Service Line by Lot





Non-Lead Service Lines

Possible Lead Service Lines

Lead Service Lines

Non-Applicable

Comparison of Neighborhoods: Lead/Possible Lead Pipes and Elevated Blood Lead Levels (BLLs)

The primary method to determine lead poisoning is through a blood test. Almost half of the neighborhoods with the highest percentage of Lead/Possible LSLs are also neighborhoods with the highest percentage of elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) for children under the age of six, according to the latest data from the NYC Environmental and Health Data Portal.²² Children living in older homes often face multiple sources of lead exposure, including lead paint, contaminated soil, and lead in tap water. While it is impossible to link any one source of exposure to poisoning, the prevalence of lead service lines in neighborhoods where there are also high elevated blood levels in children merits a closer look by DEP and the NYC Department of Health.

| RANK | TOP 10 NEIGHBORHOODS (LSLs/POSSIBLE LSLs) | TOP 10 NEIGHBORHOODS (BLLs) |
|------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1 | Port Richmond | Greenpoint |
| 2 | East Harlem | Borough Park |
| 3 | Coney Island - Sheepshead Bay | Bedford Stuyvesant - Crown Heights |
| 4 | East Flatbush - Flatbush | Williamsburg - Bushwick |
| 5 | Jamaica | Southwest Queens |
| 6 | Borough Park | Port Richmond |
| 7 | Hunts Point - Mott Haven | East Flatbush - Flatbush |
| 8 | Willowbrook | Stapleton - St. George |
| 9 | Greenwich Village - Soho | Coney Island - Sheepshead Bay |
| 10 | Pelham - Throgs Neck | Bensonhurst - Bay Ridge |

Neighborhoods with a High % of Lead/Possible LSLs and Elevated Blood Levels (BLLs)

Citywide Breakdown Equity Lens

New York State's Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (Climate Act) requires the identification and consideration of environmental justice or disadvantaged communities (DACs) in implementing the Climate Act and other state-led actions.²³ The state is required to provide 49% of the federal Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Lead Service Line Replacement funding in the form of grants (not loans) to water systems that meet the state's disadvantaged community criteria.²⁴ The concentration of lead pipes in DACs was a focus of an August 2023 study²⁵ by researchers at Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health. Their analysis found a disproportionate concentration of lead or possible lead service lines in communities with large numbers of Hispanic/Latino residents, and those with children who are already highly vulnerable to lead exposure from numerous other sources.

Of the 40% of Lead or Possible Lead Service Lines located in NYC, nearly 39% are located in DACs, making this an environmental justice issue of concern:

Citywide: LSLs / Possible LSLs in DACs vs Non-DACs



When viewing the above data through an equity lens, Brooklyn is the borough with the greatest concentration of LSLs / Possible LSLs located in DACs. While Manhattan ranks second in terms of greatest percentage of LSL / Possible LSLs, it performs the best when viewed through an equity lens, as the vast majority of pipes are not located in DACs.

LSLs / Possible LSLs in DACs - by Borough



Top 10 NYC Congressional Districts

LSLs / Possible LSLs by NYC Congressional District



Top 10 NYC Senate Districts

LSLs / Possible LSLs by NYC Senate District



Top 10 NYC Assembly Districts

LSLs / Possible LSLs by NYC Assembly District



Top 10 NYC Council Districts

LSLs / Possible LSLs by NYC Council District



What can New Yorkers do?

The following guidance²⁶ comes from NYC Department of Environmental Protection, NYC's drinking water provider:

If Your Service Line is Lead or Possible Lead:

If you have a service line or plumbing fixtures that contain lead, or you don't know what material type they're made of, you can follow these tips to minimize your lead exposure:

- **RUN** your water for at least 30 seconds or until it gets cold. Once the water is cold, run it for 15 seconds more.
- **USE COLD WATER** for cooking, drinking, or preparing infant formula. Hot tap water is more likely to contain lead and other metals.
- **REMOVE AND CLEAN** the faucet screen monthly (also called an aerator), where small particles can get trapped.
- **HIRE** a licensed plumber to identify and replace plumbing fixtures and/or service line that contain lead.

If Your Service Line is Not Lead:

Although your service line may not be made of lead, you can still be exposed to lead in the water through internal plumbing fixtures. In order to reduce the potential for exposure to lead from tap water, you should run your faucet for at least 30 seconds or until it gets cold. Once the water is cold, run it for 15 seconds more.

The only way to confirm the presence of lead in your water is to request a free lead test kit <u>online²⁷</u> or by calling 311.

Where do we go from here from a policy perspective?

Thanks to the efforts of the City Council, New York City already has an inventory and map of lead service lines. The next step is to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to replace LSLs efficiently, equitably, and affordably, and to confirm lead presence in sites currently classified as Possible LSLs.

It is our hope that the New York City Council will step up once again to combat lead exposure by introducing a local law to mandate the removal of lead service lines. After all, up until 1961, New York City permitted the use of lead pipes and for at least 103 years prior, New York City permitted, encouraged, and at times even required the use of lead pipes. Therefore, shouldn't New York City have, at the very least, a moral obligation to take fiscal and logistical responsibility for the replacement of all lead pipes?

Unfortunately, NYC Council Bill Intro 942-2024²⁸ which was introduced in June 2024, would require property owners to take on the task and expense of replacing all of their lead pipes within the next ten years, costing each owner \$10,000 to \$15,000 of dollars. NYLCVEF and its allies are advising the Council to change course, urging that the city should take on this burden as the ubiquitous presence of lead pipes was created by past city policies.

Specifically, NYLCVEF and its colleagues would like to see the Council to introduce a bill based on the experiences of other successful communities²⁹ that calls for:

- A requirement that all property owners replace their lead service lines within ten years and with a yearly timetable. Property owners can do that by either (1) taking advantage of the city's replacement program, under which the city covers the full cost or (2) hiring a contractor to do the work at the property owner's expense.
- A centralized effort to line up high quality labor and materials and to coordinate logistics across the agencies
- A provision that occupants of a residence can consent to the work rather than only the property owner.

We know lead is a poisonous heavy metal that can cause significant public health effects, and there is no level at which exposure to lead is safe. Ingesting drinking water is a significant pathway of lead exposure; lead can get into drinking water when it leaches from lead service lines.

We know what the problem is, what the solution is, and that funding is available to solve it. We just need the political will to get this job done. We just need the political will to get the job done.

Appendix A: NYC Neighborhoods

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by Neighborhood. Port Richmond in Staten Island has the highest rate (55.18%) in the entire city while Fresh Meadows in Queens has the lowest rate (17.93%)

| BOROUGH | UHF NEIGHBORHOOD | LSL % | POSSIBLE LSL % | TOTAL LSLs POSSIBLE LSLs |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Staten Island | Port Richmond | 10.45% | 44.73% | 55.18% |
| Manhattan | East Harlem | 13.92% | 36.12% | 50.03% |
| Brooklyn | Coney Island - Sheepshead Bay | 9.03% | 40.04% | 49.06% |
| Brooklyn | East Flatbush - Flatbush | 12.90% | 32.54% | 45.44% |
| Queens | Jamaica | 26.82% | 18.58% | 45.40% |
| Brooklyn | Borough Park | 14.76% | 29.78% | 44.54% |
| Bronx | Hunts Point - Mott Haven | 13.69% | 30.28% | 43.96% |
| Staten Island | Willowbrook | 4.63% | 39.23% | 43.86% |
| Manhattan | Greenwich Village - Soho | 15.93% | 27.35% | 43.27% |
| Bronx | Pelham - Throgs Neck | 25.96% | 17.25% | 43.21% |
| Queens | Ridgewood - Forest Hills | 22.64% | 20.26% | 42.90% |
| Bronx | Kingsbridge - Riverdale | 18.42% | 24.26% | 42.68% |
| Brooklyn | Canarsie - Flatlands | 6.05% | 36.11% | 42.16% |
| Bronx | Crotona - Tremont | 17.28% | 24.70% | 41.98% |
| Brooklyn | East New York | 13.26% | 28.62% | 41.88% |
| Brooklyn | Sunset Park | 15.98% | 25.80% | 41.78% |
| Brooklyn | Bedford Stuyvesant - Crown Heights | 13.15% | 28.61% | 41.76% |
| Brooklyn | Williamsburg - Bushwick | 16.23% | 25.44% | 41.68% |
| Manhattan | Union Square - Lower East Side | 13.99% | 27.54% | 41.53% |
| Brooklyn | Greenpoint | 18.51% | 22.95% | 41.46% |
| Bronx | Highbridge - Morrisania | 13.24% | 27.57% | 40.82% |

| BOROUGH | UHF NEIGHBORHOOD | LSL % | POSSIBLE LSL % | TOTAL LSLs POSSIBLE LSLs |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Brooklyn | Downtown - Heights - Slope | 15.96% | 24.72% | 40.67% |
| Manhattan | Central Harlem - Morningside Heights | 8.17% | 32.50% | 40.67% |
| Brooklyn | Bensonhurst - Bay Ridge | 14.09% | 26.58% | 40.67% |
| Queens | Long Island City - Astoria | 22.52% | 18.02% | 40.55% |
| Manhattan | Chelsea - Clinton | 11.55% | 28.64% | 40.19% |
| Queens | Southwest Queens | 23.25% | 16.72% | 39.97% |
| Queens | West Queens | 22.94% | 16.38% | 39.32% |
| Manhattan | Upper East Side | 13.95% | 24.89% | 38.85% |
| Staten Island | Stapleton - St. George | 10.40% | 28.35% | 38.74% |
| Manhattan | Gramercy Park - Murray Hill | 11.27% | 26.85% | 38.12% |
| Queens | Southeast Queens | 19.27% | 17.37% | 36.64% |
| Bronx | Fordham - Bronx Park | 19.55% | 16.42% | 35.97% |
| Queens | Rockaway | 12.11% | 23.67% | 35.78% |
| Manhattan | Lower Manhattan | 6.34% | 29.31% | 35.65% |
| Manhattan | Upper West Side | 8.66% | 25.42% | 34.08% |
| Manhattan | Washington Heights - Inwood | 7.73% | 25.84% | 33.57% |
| Queens | Flushing - Clearview | 11.70% | 20.43% | 32.13% |
| Bronx | Northeast Bronx | 14.85% | 15.50% | 30.34% |
| Staten Island | South Beach - Tottenville | 3.86% | 22.92% | 26.78% |
| Queens | Bayside - Little Neck | 9.53% | 16.85% | 26.38% |
| Queens | Fresh Meadows | 4.26% | 13.67% | 17.93% |

Appendix B: NYC Congressional District

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by NYC Congressional District. District 7 has the highest rate in the city (44.1%) while District 6 has the lowest (30.8%).

| DISTRICT | MEMBER | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 7 | Nydia Velazquez | 20.55% | 23.55% | 44.1% |
| 8 | Hakeem Jeffries | 9.32% | 34.53% | 43.9% |
| 9 | Yvette Clarke | 12.98% | 30.48% | 43.5% |
| 10 | Dan Goldman | 15.29% | 26.23% | 41.5% |
| 14 | Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez | 23.40% | 18.03% | 41.4% |
| 5 | Gregory Meeks | 22.72% | 18.41% | 41.1% |
| 13 | Adriano Espaillat | 12.79% | 27.08% | 39.9% |
| 12 | Jerry Nadler | 11.61% | 26.24% | 37.8% |
| 11 | Nicole Malliotakis | 7.98% | 29.57% | 37.6% |
| 15 | Ritchie Torres | 16.25% | 19.60% | 35.9% |
| 16 | Jamaal Bowman | 15.51% | 20.28% | 35.8% |
| 3 | Thomas Suozzi | 14.40% | 19.13% | 33.5% |
| 6 | Grace Meng | 13.75% | 17.01% | 30.8% |

Appendix C: NY State Senate District

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by NYC Senate District. District 21 has the highest rate in the city (47.8%) while District 16 has the lowest (28.5%).

| DISTRICT | MEMBER | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|
| 21 | Kevin Parker | 11.07% | 36.77% | 47.8% |
| 29 | Jose Serrano | 14.01% | 32.62% | 46.6% |
| 22 | Simcah Felder | 11.15% | 35.42% | 46.6% |
| 17 | lwen Chu | 14.27% | 30.30% | 44.6% |
| 12 | Mike Gianaris | 25.29% | 18.86% | 44.2% |
| 18 | Julia Salazar | 17.23% | 26.52% | 43.7% |
| 23 | Jessica Scarcella-Spanton | 9.37% | 34.33% | 43.7% |
| 25 | Jabari Brisport | 15.87% | 27.18% | 43.1% |
| 32 | Luis Sepulveda | 16.31% | 26.72% | 43.0% |
| 34 | Nathalia Fernandez | 25.17% | 17.32% | 42.5% |
| 33 | Gustavo Rivera | 23.22% | 19.24% | 42.5% |
| 14 | Leroy Comrie Jr. | 24.301% | 17.94% | 42.2% |
| 27 | Brian Kavanagh | 14.32% | 27.74% | 42.1% |
| 26 | Andrew Gounardes | 15.18% | 26.20% | 41.4% |
| 20 | Zellnor Myrie | 14.76% | 25.95% | 40.7% |
| 59 | Kristin Gonzalez | 19.66% | 20.51% | 40.2% |
| 30 | Cordell Cleare | 8.09% | 31.96% | 40.0% |
| 13 | Jessica Ramos | 25.05% | 14.52% | 39.6% |
| 15 | Joe Addabbo Jr. | 22.26% | 16.87% | 39.1% |
| 10 | James Sanders Jr. | 18.54% | 19.52% | 38.1% |
| 28 | Liz Krueger | 11.46% | 26.41% | 37.9% |
| 47 | Brad Hoylman-Sigal | 11.41% | 25.73% | 37.1% |
| 31 | Robert Jackson | 14.74% | 21.91% | 36.7% |
| 11 | Toby Stavisky | 15.28% | 19.48% | 34.8% |
| 24 | Andrew Lanza | 4.79% | 29.49% | 34.3% |
| 19 | Roxanne Persaud | 6.88% | 25.40% | 32.3% |
| 36 | Jamaal Bailey | 14.60% | 15.34% | 29.9% |
| 16 | John Liu | 10.82% | 17.69% | 28.5% |

Appendix D: NYC State Assembly by District

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by NYC Assembly District. District 61 has the highest rate in the city (51.5%) while Council District 25 has the lowest (21.1%).

| DISTRICT | MEMBER | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 61 | Charles Fall | 12.23% | 39.25% | 51.5% |
| 59 | Jaime Williams | 5.62% | 44.33% | 49.9% |
| 68 | Eddie Gibbs | 14.52% | 34.89% | 49.4% |
| 41 | Helene Weinstein | 11.04% | 36.94% | 48.0% |
| 32 | Vivian E. Cook | 26.55% | 20.63% | 47.2% |
| 37 | Juan Ardila | 25.41% | 20.97% | 46.4% |
| 33 | Clyde Vanel | 28.14% | 18.05% | 46.2% |
| 49 | Lester Chang | 15.88% | 30.07% | 46.0% |
| 87 | Karines Reyes | 26.15% | 19.43% | 45.6% |
| 85 | Kenny Burgos | 21.48% | 23.62% | 45.1% |
| 54 | Erik Martin Dilan | 17.59% | 27.32% | 44.9% |
| 51 | Marcela Mitaynes | 16.25% | 27.98% | 44.2% |
| 45 | Michael Novakhov | 10.82% | 33.26% | 44.1% |
| 78 | George Alvarez | 23.66% | 20.29% | 44.0% |
| 56 | Stefani Zinerman | 19.53% | 24.21% | 43.7% |
| 57 | Phara Souffrant Forrest | 13.76% | 29.96% | 43.7% |
| 48 | Simcha Eichenstein | 14.10% | 29.37% | 43.5% |
| 46 | Alec Brook-Krasny | 11.20% | 32.03% | 43.2% |
| 66 | Deborah J. Glick | 15.53% | 27.40% | 42.9% |
| 38 | Jenifer Rajkumar | 25.25% | 17.53% | 42.8% |
| 74 | Harvey Epstein | 14.07% | 28.54% | 42.6% |
| 43 | Brian A. Cunningham | 15.60% | 26.98% | 42.6% |

| DISTRICT | MEMBER | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----------|----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 82 | Michael Benedetto | 24.68% | 17.72% | 42.4% |
| 76 | Rebecca Seawright | 14.85% | 27.50% | 42.4% |
| 63 | Sam Pirozzolo | 7.52% | 34.60% | 42.1% |
| 50 | Emily Gallagher | 18.64% | 23.20% | 41.8% |
| 29 | Alicia Hyndman | 23.97% | 17.79% | 41.8% |
| 79 | Chantel Jackson | 11.38% | 30.28% | 41.7% |
| 47 | William Colton | 13.28% | 28.37% | 41.7% |
| 77 | Landon Dais | 16.47% | 25.12% | 41.6% |
| 84 | Amanda Septimo | 13.64% | 27.72% | 41.4% |
| 35 | Jeffrion L. Aubry | 22.63% | 18.69% | 41.3% |
| 53 | Maritza Davila | 14.45% | 26.59% | 41.0% |
| 58 | Monique Chandler-Waterman | 7.01% | 33.94% | 40.9% |
| 42 | Rodneyse Bichotte Hermelyn | 12.79% | 27.55% | 40.3% |
| 81 | Jeffrey Dinowitz | 19.63% | 20.64% | 40.3% |
| 70 | Inez Dickens | 7.80% | 32.36% | 40.2% |
| 55 | Latrice Walker | 9.64% | 30.29% | 39.9% |
| 75 | Tony Simone | 10.43% | 29.36% | 39.8% |
| 24 | David Weprin | 25.86% | 13.82% | 39.7% |
| 44 | Robert Carroll | 17.25% | 22.38% | 39.6% |
| 65 | Grace Lee | 13.28% | 26.35% | 39.6% |
| 28 | Andrew Hevesi | 20.13% | 19.16% | 39.3% |
| 36 | Zohran Mamdani | 21.30% | 17.52% | 38.8% |
| 34 | Jessica González-Rojas | 24.66% | 13.95% | 38.6% |
| 52 | Jo Anne Simon | 15.04% | 23.33% | 38.4% |

| DISTRICT | MEMBER | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 86 | Yudelka Tapia | 16.50% | 21.81% | 38.3% |
| 31 | Khaleel Anderson | 18.96% | 18.38% | 37.3% |
| 71 | Al Taylor | 7.74% | 28.89% | 36.6% |
| 30 | Steven Raga | 19.26% | 16.80% | 36.1% |
| 80 | John Zaccaro Jr. | 21.15% | 14.64% | 35.8% |
| 73 | Alex Bores | 11.42% | 23.73% | 35.1% |
| 67 | Linda Rosenthal | 10.65% | 24.26% | 34.9% |
| 64 | Michael Tannousis | 7.02% | 27.51% | 34.5% |
| 69 | Daniel J. O'Donnell | 7.47% | 26.84% | 34.3% |
| 23 | Stacey Pheffer Amato | 11.09% | 23.14% | 34.2% |
| 39 | Catalina Cruz | 19.94% | 14.28% | 34.2% |
| 60 | Nikki Lucas | 6.46% | 27.60% | 34.1% |
| 72 | Manny De Los Santos | 9.21% | 4.77% | 34.0% |
| 40 | Ron Kim | 12.76% | 19.83% | 32.6% |
| 26 | Edward Braunstein | 10.60% | 19.92% | 30.5% |
| 27 | Sam Berger | 10.62% | 18.48% | 29.1% |
| 83 | Carl Heastie | 13.54% | 15.20% | 28.7% |
| 62 | Michael Reilly | 2.30% | 23.23% | 25.5% |
| 25 | Nily Rozic | 5.90% | 15.21% | 21.1% |

Appendix E: NYC Council by District

The following table shows the DEP service line data broken down by NYC Council District. District 49 has the highest rate in the city (48.2%) while District 24 has the lowest (24.3%).

| COUNCIL | | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|---------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 49 | Kamillah Hanks | 12.11% | 36.06% | 48.2% |
| 28 | Adrienne E. Adams | 29.86% | 18.13% | 48.0% |
| 8 | Diana Ayala | 14.88% | 32.98% | 47.9% |
| 48 | Inna Vernikov | 9.01% | 38.67% | 47.7% |
| 46 | Mercedes Narcisse | 5.56% | 41.29% | 46.8% |
| 45 | Farah N. Louis | 12.66% | 33.77% | 46.4% |
| 27 | Nantasha Williams | 27.17% | 18.52% | 45.7% |
| 43 | Susan Zhuang | 13.92% | 31.73% | 45.6% |
| 21 | Francisco Moya | 27.45% | 17.97% | 45.4% |
| 37 | Sandy Nurse | 17.57% | 27.36% | 44.9% |
| 17 | Rafael Salamanca Jr. | 16.22% | 28.44% | 44.7% |
| 18 | Amanda Farías | 25.21% | 19.29% | 44.5% |
| 35 | Crystal Hudson | 15.19% | 28.80% | 44.0% |
| 34 | Jennifer Gutiérrez | 17.00% | 26.92% | 43.9% |
| 44 | Kalman Yeger | 14.02% | 29.57% | 43.6% |
| 38 | Alexa Avilés | 17.36% | 26.19% | 43.5% |
| 2 | Carlina Rivera | 14.21% | 29.07% | 43.3% |
| 15 | Oswald Feliz | 18.30% | 24.25% | 42.6% |
| 36 | Chi Ossé | 18.00% | 24.55% | 42.5% |
| 5 | Julie Menin | 15.42% | 26.68% | 42.1% |
| 30 | Robert F. Holden | 23.71% | 18.13% | 41.8% |
| 3 | Erik Bottcher | 14.12% | 27.68% | 41.8% |
| 41 | Darlene Mealy | 9.79% | 31.88% | 41.7% |
| 26 | Julie Won | 19.58% | 21.118% | 40.7% |

| | | % LSLs | % POSSIBLE LSLs | TOTAL |
|----|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 47 | Justin Brannan | 11.67% | 29.00% | 40.7% |
| 9 | Yusef Salaam | 7.97% | 32.57% | 40.5% |
| 16 | Althea Stevens | 14.74% | 25.75% | 40.5% |
| 11 | Eric Dinowitz | 21.51% | 18.81% | 40.3% |
| 29 | Lynn Schulman | 24.73% | 15.51% | 40.2% |
| 1 | Christopher Marte | 12.87% | 27.09% | 40.0% |
| 13 | Kristy Marmorato | 23.51% | 16.17% | 39.7% |
| 39 | Shahana Hanif | 16.95% | 22.73% | 39.7% |
| 33 | Lincoln Restler | 14.96% | 24.64% | 39.6% |
| 50 | David Carr | 6.41% | 33.10% | 39.5% |
| 40 | Rita Joseph | 13.67% | 25.62% | 39.3% |
| 14 | Pierina Ana Sanchez | 19.24% | 19.06% | 38.3% |
| 22 | Tiffany Cabán | 21.65% | 16.02% | 37.7% |
| 32 | Joann Ariola | 16.74% | 20.10% | 36.8% |
| 42 | Chris Banks | 7.22% | 29.42% | 36.6% |
| 7 | Shaun Abreu | 7.08% | 29.47% | 36.5% |
| 4 | Keith Powers | 9.71% | 25.09% | 34.8% |
| 25 | Shekar Krishnan | 22.83% | 11.36% | 34.2% |
| 10 | Carmen De La Rosa | 8.50% | 24.96% | 33.5% |
| 6 | Gale A. Brewer | 9.63% | 23.78% | 33.4% |
| 23 | Linda Lee | 16.56% | 16.49% | 33.1% |
| 19 | Vickie Paladino | 12.66% | 20.10% | 32.8% |
| 31 | Selvena N. Brooks-Powers | 12.73% | 19.35% | 32.1% |
| 20 | Sandra Ung | 9.37% | 22.55% | 31.9% |
| 12 | Kevin C. Riley | 11.96% | 16.00% | 28.0% |
| 51 | Joseph C. Borelli | 2.53% | 22.09% | 24.6% |
| 24 | James F. Gennaro | 10.97% | 13.32% | 24.3% |

1 Lead Poisoning Prevention, CDC, <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/prevention/</u> <u>default.htm</u> (last updated Sept. 2, 2022).

2 Pediatrics (2016) 138(1): e20161493, American Academy of Pediatrics, <u>Preven-</u> tion of Childhood Lead Toxicity | Pediatrics | American Academy of Pediatrics (aap.org).

3 *Lead Poisoning*, World Health Organization (WHO) <u>https://www.who.int/en/</u> <u>news-room/fact-sheets/detail/lead-poisoning-and-health</u> (last updated Aug. 31, 2022).

4 Basic Information about Lead in Drinking Water, U.S. Env't Prot. Agency (EPA), https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/basic-information-about-lead-drinking-water (last updated Jan. 27, 2023).

5 History of New York City Drinking Water, N.Y.C. Dep't of Env't Prot. (DEP), https://www1.nyc.gov/site/dep/water/history-of-new-york-citys-drinking-water.page (last visited Mar. 24, 2023).

6 Lead Service Line Coordinates, NYC Department of Environmental Protection, https://data.cityofnewyork.us/Environment/Lead-Service-Line-Location-Coordinates/bnkq-6un4 (last visited Mar. 28, 2023).

7 DEP, Lead Free NYC: Get the Facts on Tap Water (Feb. 2019), <u>https://www1.nyc.</u> gov/assets/dep/downloads/pdf/water/water-monitoring/monitoring-for-lead/ lead-free-nyc-brochure.pdf.

8 Public Water Supply Contact Information, N.Y. State Dep't of Health (NYSDOH), https://www.health.ny.gov/environmental/water/drinking/pws_contacts/map_ pws_contacts.htm (last updated Aug. 2022).

9 "A Look Back in Time: Why NYC Has So Many Lead Pipes." NYLCVEF Blog Post: <u>https://nylcvef.org/citizens-toolkit/a-look-back-in-time-why-nyc-has-</u> <u>so-many-lead-pipes</u>

10 Lead in Household Plumbing FAQs, DEP, <u>https://www1.nyc.gov/site/dep/wa-ter/lead-in-household-plumbing-faq.page</u> (last visited Mar. 24, 2023).

11 EPA Researchers Share Approaches to Identify Lead Service Lines, EPA, https://www.epa.gov/sciencematters/epa-researchers-share-approachesidentify-lead-service-lines (last updated Mar. 08, 2023).

12 Water Service Line Map FAQs, Lead Free NYC, <u>https://www.nyc.gov/content/</u> <u>leadfree/pages/maps-faq</u> (last visited Mar. 26, 2023). The information is based on one of the following record types:

- Historical records based on the latest plumbing records filed by a licensed master plumber for a property;
- Observations from visual inspections by DEP at the meter inside a home; or
- Excavations, the result of external visual inspections conducted by city agencies at the point where the water service line connects with the Cityowned water main in the street.

13 https://legistar.council.nyc.gov/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7241351&GUID=8DFB 7EE2-9E40-40AC-BECE-BB1928209122

14 <u>https://data.cityofnewyork.us/Environment/Lead-Service-Line-Location-</u> Coordinates/bnkg-6un4

15 https://www1.nyc.gov/content/leadfree/pages/maps

16 Lead Service Line Coordinates. New York City Department of Environmental Protection. <u>https://data.cityofnewyork.us/Environment/Lead-Service-Line-Location-Coordinates/bnkq-6un4</u>

17 Which DEP classifies as "Potential Lead."

18 Which DEP classifies as "Unknown."

19 https://www.nylcvef.org/LeadInDrinkingWater

20 Neighborhoods as defined by the United Hospital Fund.

21 A Mapping of NYC Lead Service Lines. New York League of Conservation Voters Education Fund. <u>https://nylcvedfund.maps.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/</u>

<u>342497b697cf4994ab64652e4dbdc4fc</u> (last visited July 24, 2024).

22 New York State Law requires all health care providers to test children one and two years old and it is recommended that children at risk be tested until age six. *Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention*, NYSDOH, <u>https://www.health.ny.gov/environmental/lead/</u> (last updated Dec. 2022).

Each year, the NYC Health Department receives blood lead test results and publishes data for more than 300,000 children younger than six years old. *Lead Poisoning Reports, Publications and Surveillance Data,* N.Y.C. Dep't of Health & Mental Hygiene, <u>https://www.nyc.gov/site/doh/data/data-sets/lead-pubs.page</u> (last visited February 23, 2024). Special attention is paid to children with elevated blood lead levels (BLLs), which are currently set at 3.5 micrograms of lead per deciliter of blood (mcg/dL). *Lead Poisoning: Information for Health Care Provider,* N.Y.C. Dep't of Health & Mental Hygiene, <u>https://www.nyc.gov/site/doh/health-topics/lead-poisoning-for-healthcare-providers.page</u> (last visited visited February 23, 2024).

23 New York State Climate Act: <u>https://climate.ny.gov/Resources/Disadva</u> <u>ntaged-Communities-Criteria</u>

24 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund: <u>https://www.health.ny.gov/</u> environmental/water/drinking/water.htm

25 "Geospatial Assessment of Racial/Ethnic Composition, Social Vulnerability, and Lead Water Service Lines in New York City" Environmental Health Perspectives. August 30, 2023. <u>https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/full/10.1289/EHP12276</u>

26 <u>https://www.nyc.gov/content/leadfree/pages/maps-faq</u> last visited 3/3/2024 27 https://portal.311.nyc.gov/article/?kanumber=KA-01403

28 https://legistar.council.nyc.gov/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6713078&GUID =079DF318-CB47-4320-B0C2-088DE4025897&Options=&Search

29 See Model Ordinance for the Replacement of Lead Service Lines, Nat. Res. Def. Council, <u>https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/model-ordinance-replace</u> <u>ment-lead-service-lines-20220506.pdf</u> (also attached as Appendix B to this report).



The New York City Coalition to End Lead Poisoning (NYCCELP)

is a coalition of advocates, doctors, and lawyers who first came together in the 1980s to create and pass Local Law 1 of 2004 to prevent childhood lead poisoning by remediating lead paint hazards in homes. Currently, NYCCELP convenes the Lead Roundtable to advocate for legislation and regulations that will close loopholes in Local Law 1 as well as create a citywide mandatory lead service line replacement program to address public health concerns about lead in drinking water. Members include Citizens' Committee for Children of New York, Cooper Square Committee, Earthjustice, The Frankel Law Firm, Legal Aid Society, Lead Poisoning Prevention and Treatment Program at the Montefiore Medical Center (Bronx NY), NRDC, New York Lawyers for the Public Interest, New York League of Conservation Voters Education Fund, Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, Tenants Political Action Committee, and WE ACT for Environmental Justice.



REPORT AUTHOR

New York League of Conservation Voters Education Fund (NYLOVEF) educates, engages and empowers New Yorkers to be effective advocates on behalf of the environment — from lead-free pipes and clean water to green buildings and funding for parks. For more information about our work on lead in drinking water, visit www.nylcvef.org/LeadInDrinkingWater



Scan this QR code for an interactive lead service line map.